

Logic for Mathematicians

Final Examination Summary

Amber

[ADN.cn]

hupo001@gmail.com

1 The System L of Propositional Logic / First-Order Predicate Logic

1.1 Propositional Logic

Axiom (Axiom 1) $\vdash_L A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$

Axiom (Axiom 2) $\vdash_L (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$

Axiom (Axiom 3) $\vdash_L (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

Assumption (Modus Ponens) $\{A, A \rightarrow B\} \vdash_L B$

1.2 First-Order Predicate Logic

Axiom (Axiom 4) $\vdash_L (\forall x)A \rightarrow A[x/t]$ where x occurs free for the term t in A .

Axiom (Axiom 5) $\vdash_L (\forall x)(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (\forall x)B)$ where $x \notin \text{free}(A)$

Assumption (Generalization) $\Sigma \cup \{A\} \vdash_L (\forall x)A$ where $x \notin \text{free}(\Sigma_0)$ where there exists a proof sequence from $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$ to A .

2 The Deduction Theorem

Theorem (The Deduction Theorem) $\Sigma \vdash_L A \rightarrow B \iff \Sigma \cup A \vdash_L B$

Proof (\implies) There exists a proof sequence S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n from Σ to $A \rightarrow B$. Give the proof of $\Sigma \cup A \vdash_L B$ as follows.

1	S_1	
2	S_2	
	\vdots	
n	$S_n = A \rightarrow B$	
$n + 1$	A	Hypothesis
$n + 2$	B	Modus Ponens $n + 1, n$

■

Proof (\impliedby) Let n be the length of the proof sequence from $\Sigma \cup A$ to B .

When $n = 1$, there are 2 cases to be discussed.

Case 1: B is a axioms of L or a member of Σ . Give the proof as follows.

1	B	Axiom or Hypothesis
2	$B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	Axiom 1
3	$A \rightarrow B$	MP12

Case 2: B is A . Give the proof as follows.

1	$A \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)$	Axiom 1
2	$(A \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A))$	Axiom 2
3	$(A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$	Modus Ponens 1, 2
4	$A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$	Axiom 1
5	$A \rightarrow A$	Modus Ponens 4, 3

Suppose that for each $n < k$, the theorem is valid. When $n = k$, there are 2 cases to be discussed.

Case 1: B is A , a axioms of L or a member of Σ .

The case is the same as the case when $n = 1$.

Case 2: B is the result of Modus Ponens of C , $D = C \rightarrow B$ in the proof sequence of B . Let S be a proof sequence S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n from Σ to $A \rightarrow C$. Let T be a proof sequence T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m from Σ to $A \rightarrow D$. Give the proof of $\Sigma \vdash_L A \rightarrow B$ as follows.

1	S_1	
2	S_2	
	\vdots	
n	$S_n = A \rightarrow C$	
$n + 1$	T_1	
$n + 2$	T_2	
	\vdots	
$n + m$	$T_m = A \rightarrow D = A \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B)$	
$n + m + 1$	$(A \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B))$	Axiom 2
$n + m + 2$	$(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	Modus Ponens $n + m, n + m + 1$
$n + m + 3$	$A \rightarrow B$	Modus Ponens $n, n + m + 2$

Therefore, the theorem is valid for each natural number n . ■

Corollary (Hypothetical Syllogism) $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\} \vdash_L A \rightarrow C$

Proof $\iff \{A, A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\} \vdash_L C$ by The Deduction Theorem

1	A	Hypothesis
2	$A \rightarrow B$	Hypothesis
3	B	Modus Ponens 1, 2
4	$B \rightarrow C$	Hypothesis
5	C	Modus Ponens 3, 4

■

3 Useful Lemmas for Proposition Logic System

Lemma (Lemma 1) $\vdash_L \neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

Proof

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 1 | $\neg A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$ | Axiom 1 |
| 2 | $(\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ | Axiom 3 |
| 3 | $\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ | Hypothetical Syllogism 1, 2 |

■

Lemma (Lemma 2) $\vdash_L (\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$

Proof $\iff \{\neg A \rightarrow A\} \vdash_L A$ by The Deduction Theorem

- | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | $\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \rightarrow A))$ | Lemma 1 |
| 2 | $(\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \rightarrow A))) \rightarrow ((\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \rightarrow A)))$ | Axiom 2 |
| 3 | $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \rightarrow A))$ | Modus Ponens 1, 2 |
| 4 | $\neg A \rightarrow A$ | Hypothesis |
| 5 | $\neg A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \rightarrow A)$ | Modus Ponens 4, 3 |
| 6 | $(\neg A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow ((\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)$ | Axiom 3 |
| 7 | $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$ | Modus Ponens 5, 6 |
| 8 | A | Modus Ponens 4, 7 |

■

Lemma (Lemma 3) $\vdash_L \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$

Proof

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 1 | $\neg\neg A \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow A)$ | Lemma 1 |
| 2 | $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$ | Lemma 2 |
| 3 | $\neg\neg A \rightarrow A$ | Hypothetical Syllogism 1, 2 |

■

Lemma (Lemma 4) $\vdash_L A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$

Proof

- | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---------|
| 1 | $\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$ | | Lemma 3 |
| 2 | $(\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg\neg A)$ | | Axiom 3 |
| 3 | $A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$ | Modus Ponens 1, 2 | |

■

Lemma (Lemma 5) $\vdash_L (B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow \neg B)$

Proof $\iff \{B \rightarrow A\} \vdash_L \neg A \rightarrow \neg B$ by The Deduction Theorem

- | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1 | $\neg\neg B \rightarrow B$ | | Lemma 3 |
| 2 | $B \rightarrow A$ | | Hypothesis |
| 3 | $\neg\neg B \rightarrow A$ | Hypothetical Syllogism 1, 2 | |
| 4 | $A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$ | | Lemma 4 |
| 5 | $\neg\neg B \rightarrow \neg\neg A$ | Hypothetical Syllogism 3, 4 | |
| 6 | $(\neg\neg B \rightarrow \neg\neg A) \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow \neg B)$ | | Axiom 3 |
| 7 | $\neg A \rightarrow \neg B$ | Modus Ponens 5, 6 | |

■

Lemma (Lemma 6) $\{\neg A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow B\} \vdash_L B$

Proof

1	$\neg A \rightarrow B$	Hypothesis
2	$B \rightarrow \neg\neg B$	Lemma 5
3	$\neg A \rightarrow \neg\neg B$	Hypothetical Syllogism 1, 2
4	$(\neg A \rightarrow \neg\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow A)$	Axiom 3
5	$\neg B \rightarrow A$	Modus Ponens 3, 4
6	$A \rightarrow B$	Hypothesis
7	$\neg B \rightarrow B$	Hypothetical Syllogism 5, 6
8	$(\neg B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$	Lemma 2
9	B	Modus Ponens 7, 8

■

4 Applications of Lemmas in Proposition Logic

Example (Example 1) $\vdash_L ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C)$

Lemma (Lemma 1 for Example 1) $\vdash_L \neg A \rightarrow (((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C))$

Proof $\iff \{\neg A, (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow C\} \vdash_L C$ by The Deduction Theorem

1	$\neg A$	Hypothesis
2	$\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	Lemma 1
3	$A \rightarrow B$	Modus Ponens 1, 2
4	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C$	Hypothesis
5	C	Modus Ponens 3, 4

■

Lemma (Lemma 2 for Example 1) $\vdash_L A \rightarrow (((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C))$

Proof $\iff \{A, (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow C\} \vdash_L C$ by The Deduction Theorem

1	A	Hypothesis
2	$A \rightarrow C$	Hypothesis
3	C	Modus Ponens 1, 2

■

Proof (Example 1)

1	$\neg A \rightarrow (((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C))$	Lemma 1 for Example 1
2	$A \rightarrow (((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C))$	Lemma 2 for Example 1
3	$((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C)$	Lemma 6

■

5 Useful Lemmas for First-Order Predicate Logic

6 Applications of Lemmas in First-Order Predicate Logic